

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
7 AT SEATTLE

8 JOEL SIBERT,

9 Plaintiff,

10 v.

11 KING COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF  
12 COMMUNITY AND HUMAN HEALTH  
SERVICES BEHAVIORAL HEALTH  
AND RECOVERY DIVISION, et al.,

13 Defendants.  
14

CASE NO. 2:19-cv-00904-BAT

**RULE 502(d) ORDER**

15 In accordance with Rule 502(d) of the Federal Rules of Evidence, Plaintiff and  
16 Defendants agree that the production of privileged or work-product protected documents,  
17 electronically stored information ("ESI") or information, whether inadvertent or otherwise, is not  
18 a waiver of the privilege or protection from discovery in this case or in any other federal or state  
19 proceeding, and ask the Court to enter an order to that effect. Dkt. 15, ¶ D, Ex. A (proposed Rule  
20 502(d) Order).

21 Accordingly, it is **ORDERED**:

22 1. The production of privileged or work-product protected documents, electronically  
23 stored information ("ESI") or information, whether inadvertent or otherwise, is not a waiver of  
the privilege or protection from discovery in this case or in any other federal or state proceeding.

1 This Order shall be interpreted to provide the maximum protection allowed by Federal Rule of  
2 Evidence 502(d).

3 2. Nothing contained herein is intended to or shall serve to limit a party's right to  
4 conduct a review of documents, ESI or information (including metadata) for relevance,  
5 responsiveness and/or segregation of privileged and/or protected information before production.

6 DATED this 28<sup>th</sup> day of October, 2019.

7  
8 

9 BRIAN A. TSUCHIDA  
Chief United States Magistrate Judge